

**UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON**

**EXAMINATION FOR INTERNAL STUDENTS**

**MODULE CODE : MATH3801**

**ASSESSMENT : MATH3801A  
PATTERN**

**MODULE NAME : Logic**

**DATE : 26-May-10**

**TIME : 14:30**

**TIME ALLOWED : 2 Hours 0 Minutes**

All questions may be attempted but only marks obtained on the best four solutions will count.

The use of an electronic calculator is not permitted in this examination.

1. (a) State the algorithm for restoring brackets to a 'slang' formula. Express the following as  $\mathcal{L}$ (practical) formulas:
  - (i)  $Ax \rightarrow \exists yBy \rightarrow Cx \wedge Dz$ ,
  - (ii)  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \vee \gamma) \wedge \alpha \rightarrow \beta \wedge (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$ .
- (b) Describe how the 'free' variables are defined in an  $\mathcal{L}$ (minimal) formula. Write down  $\alpha(x/y)$  and  $\alpha(x/y)_S$ , where  $\alpha$  is the formula  $Ax \rightarrow \exists xLxy \rightarrow Cx$ .
  
2. Write down the propositional rules for a tableau. If  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are  $\mathcal{L}$  formulas, use the tableau method to determine which, if any, of the following are tautologies:
  - (a)  $\neg \alpha \wedge \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ ,
  - (b)  $\neg \alpha \wedge \alpha \rightarrow \beta \vee \gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ ,
  - (c)  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow \neg \alpha \vee \beta \rightarrow \neg \alpha \vee \gamma$ .
  
3. Write down the quantifier rules for a tableau. Discuss the following entailments using the tableau method.
  - (a)  $\models \forall x(Lxx \rightarrow \exists yLyx)$ ,
  - (b)  $\{\forall x \neg Lxx, \forall x \forall z (\exists y(Lxy \wedge Lyx) \rightarrow Lxz)\} \models \forall x \forall y \neg (Lxy \wedge Lyx)$ .

4. (a) Give the definition for a Hintikka set of  $\mathcal{L}$ (minimal) formulas. If  $X$  is a Hintikka set which contains the formula  $\neg \forall x \rightarrow \neg \forall z \mathbf{L}zx \mathbf{H}x$ , show that it cannot contain the formula  $\forall z \forall y \neg \mathbf{L}zy$ .
- (b) Suppose that a semantic tableau for a set  $X$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  formulas never terminates, show that there must be at least one infinite open branch. Without going into detail or giving more than a minimal justification for your answers, what can you say about the formulas in this branch? What can you say about  $X$ ?
5. (a) What is meant by the following: 'An effective positive test', 'The Halting Problem'.
- Define the function ' $BB(x)$ '. Show that, if there were an effective positive test for non-halting Turing Machines,  $BB(x)$  would be a computable function.
- (b) Define the *algorithmic complexity* of a binary string relative to an ideal computer. Give an upper bound for the number of strings of complexity less than  $n$ . Hence show that, for suitably large  $n$ , 99.9% of binary strings cannot be generated by strings much shorter than themselves. By considering integers defined by the expression  $\text{Min}\{x : \text{complexity of } x > k\}$ , for some suitably large  $k$ , show that, for any automated theorem prover describing the integers, there will be true statements of the form  $\exists x Q(x)$  but no  $x$  can be found for which  $Q(x)$  holds.